

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—I rise to a point of order. It is definitely known that the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, has sent a report to Government about two months ago that one-fourth of the wages for 3 months may be paid but they are not disclosing the truth. I want to know whether it is correct.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is no point of order. It is only the Hon'ble Member trying to give information to the Government.

Stocking and Selling of Crackers in Bangalore.

Q.—3491. Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR (Belgaum City).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether they are aware that dangerous varieties of crackers are being brought to Bangalore in lorries and buses from Shivakasi and other places in South India ;

(b) if so, the action taken or proposed to be taken ;

(c) whether they are aware that the Central Government have imposed ban on the movement of crackers and fire works by Railway ;

(d) whether they are aware that large quantities of crackers and fire works are being stocked in the congested areas of Bangalore like Mamulpet, Old Tharagupet, Avenue Road, etc. ;

(e) the action taken or proposed to be taken to prevent such stocking of explosive materials ?

A.—Sri C. M. POONACHA (Minister for Home Affairs and Industries).—

(a) No.

(b) In view of the answer to clause (a) this does not arise.

(c) Yes.

(d) No.

(e) This does not arise.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—Sir, this question was sent as a short notice question prior to Dasara Festivities. Now the answer is coming up. It has lost all its importance.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That has not been admitted as a Short Notice Question.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—May I know whether the Government have detected any cases of movement of crackers either by lorries or by railway ?

***Sri C. M. POONACHA.**—No such instances have come to the notice of the Government. The information is that these crackers are transhipped by rail.

Sri B. R. SUNTHANKAR.—May I know, Sir, whether the Government proposes to take any steps to prevent the stocking of crackers in congested areas in cities like Bangalore ?

Sri C. M. POONACHA.—Action is being taken under Rule 106 of the Explosive Rules regarding possession, transport and use of explosives and it is strictly enforced and the Government is taking all the precautions under the Explosives Act.

Breach of Sangenahalli and Thuppadahalli tanks in Jagalur Taluk.

Q.—3795. Sri G. N. PUTTANNA (Tumkur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the years in which Sangenahalli and Thuppadahalli Tanks in Jagalur Taluk breached together with the reasons therefor ;

(b) the loss incurred on account of the breach of each of these tanks ;

(c) the original estimate and the estimate prepared after the breach in respect of both the tanks ;

(d) whether it is a fact that an Enquiry Committee was set up under Sri L. Siddappa in respect of this matter ;

(e) whether the report of the Committee is circulated ;

(f) what action has been taken ?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works).—

(a) Both the tanks breached during October 1953 as a result of heavy floods.

Rs.

- (b) (i) Sangenahalli Tank...1,75,891
(ii) Thuppadahalli Tank.2,19,100

(c) Sangenahalli Tank:—

- (i) Original sancti- 10.57 lakhs.
oned estimate

It was under revi- 25.00 lakhs.
sion to (before the
breach occurred).

- (ii) Final compre- 32.60 lakhs.
hensive estimate
(after the breach),

Thuppadahalli Tank:—

- (i) Revised sancti- 14.90 lakhs.
oned estimate
(before the breach).

- (ii) The final sancti- 20.86 lakhs.
oned estimate
(after the breach).

(d) Yes.

(e) Yes.

(f) Government agreed with the observations and finding contained in the report. The estimates for the restoration of both the tanks have also been sanctioned. The question of taking disciplinary action against the officers and officials concerned is being dealt with.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಫ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಈ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ವರ್ಷಗಳಾವುವು ?

*Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—Exact date is not with me. It is some three years back.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಫ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—1953ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಸಾರಾಯಿತು ಎಂಬುದು ಗೊತ್ತೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಅರ್ಡರ್ ಆಗ ಆಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಬಂತು ಅಷ್ಟೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಫ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಅದರಮೇಲೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅರ್ಡರ್ ಆಗಿದ್ದು 5ನೆಯ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ 1954ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—The Government have accepted the Report. That is the order passed on the Report.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—It is stated that “the Chief Engineer is requested to take necessary action immediately regarding the remedial measure proposed by the Committee in respect of both the tanks.” ಇದರ ಮೇಲೆ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—Remedial measures have been taken and tanks have been restored. Works have been taken up and they are completed.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಫ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—The Government agree with the observations and findings contained in the report of the Committee. Further action will be taken to assess the responsibility of those connected with the works from time to time and to take suitable measures in that behalf ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಅದರ ಮೇಲೆ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH. This is a different question. ಆ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಅದರ ಮೇಲೆ ಬಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಯಾರು ಯಾರು ತಪ್ಪು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಆಸೆಸಮಾಧಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಶಿಫಾರಸು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡು ಆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ, ತನಿಖೆ ಆಗಬೇಕು. ಅವರಿಗೆ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಅವರಿಂದ ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಪ್ಲನೇಷನ್ ಕೇಳಬೇಕು. ಹೀಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕ್ರಮಗಳು ನಡೆಯಬೇಕಾಗಿವೆ. ಏತನ್ಮಧ್ಯೆ ಒಬ್ಬ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಚೀಫ್ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರಾಗಿದ್ದವರನ್ನು ರಿಟೈರ್‌ಮಾಡಿ, ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದೆ. ಒಬ್ಬ ಒವರ್‌ಸೀಯರ್ ಮತ್ತು ಅಸಿಸ್ಟೆಂಟ್ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರು ಬೇರೆ ಕಡೆ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆಲವು ಫಾರ್ಮ್ಯಾ ಲಿಟೇಸ್ ಇವೆ. ಪಿ. ಎಸ್. ಸಿ. ಅವರನ್ನು ಕೇಳಬೇಕು, ರಾ ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟಿನವರನ್ನು ಕೇಳಬೇಕು. ಈ ರೀತಿ ನಿರ್ಧಾನವಾಗಿ ಆ ಕಾರ್ಯ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಾ ಇದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಫ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಹಾಗಿದ್ದು ಒಬ್ಬ ಅಸಿಸ್ಟೆಂಟ್ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರ್ ಆಗಿದ್ದವರಿಗೆ ಈಗ ನೂಪರಿಟ್ ಟೆಂಡಿಂಗ್ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರ್ ಆಗಿ ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಅಲ್ಲವೇ ?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—I do not remember.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—I will give the date of the Government Order in which the said Assistant Engineer has been promoted as Superintending Engineer.

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—It is not seeking information.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಫ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ನಿರಪರಾಧಿಯಾದ ಒಬ್ಬ ಆಫೀಸರಮೇಲೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಅದು ಉಪಯೋಗವಾಗುವುದು. ಯಾರಮೇಲೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದರೂ ಅವರು ಅಪರಾಧಿಯೋ ನಿರಪರಾಧಿಯೋ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅವರ ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಪ್ಲನೇಷನ್ ಕೇಳಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಕಮಿಷಿಯವರು ಒಂದು ವರದಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಕೆಲವು ಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಅವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಮಜಾಯಿಷಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು, ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ನೀತಿಯ ವಿಷಯ ಆಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಪಿ. ಎಸ್. ಸಿ. ಅವರು

(ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣ ಗೌಡ)

ಏನು ಶಿಕ್ಷೆ ವಿಧಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳುವುದು, ಅಥವಾ ಲಾ ಪಾಯಿಂಟ್ ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಆಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಲಾ ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್ ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕೇಳುವುದು—ಹೀಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತದೆ. ನಿರಪರಾಧಿ ಎಂದು ನೀವು ತೀರ್ಮಾನಮಾಡುವುದು ಹೇಗೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಫ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಹೇಗೆ ಇರಲಿ. ಆ ಬಂಟ್ ಒಡೆದುಹೋದಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟು, ನಿರಪರಾಧಿಯಾದವನ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣ ಗೌಡ.—ಇಲ್ಲ. ಹಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—May I know the officials against whom the Siddappa Committee has recommended to take action?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—Sir, you have ordered not to disclose names.

Mr. SPEAKER.—After all, these names are in the Report itself.

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—The Report was published and copies were distributed to Hon'ble Members. If any new member has not received, I will arrange for supplying copies to such members.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—Is there not any instance where one of the officers was given promotion after this Report was published?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—Yes, Sir; but, I have said that officer was punished afterwards.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—When one officer was made to undergo the punishment on account of this Report, what was the difficulty in the way of Government to assess the mistake of all other officers and award them punishment wherever necessary?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನದಲ್ಲೇ ಇದ್ದೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು.

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—Sir, explanations of the concerned should be called for; the Public Service Commission's report must come. As and when they are received, Government Order would be passed.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—I want to know whether the names of the defaulting officers were not sent to the Public Service Commission in one bulk or were they sent in batches?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—

I do not think all these have been sent to the Public Service Commission. Each case differs from the other. First, we have to obtain the explanation of the officers concerned. Some of them are retired; some of them are in various places; some of them are ill and plead for some time. So, this is going on.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Pending assessment of the mistakes of the officers concerned, will the Government stop the promotions of the officers involved in this affair?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—That is only a suggestion, Sir; Government will consider it.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಫ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಫೈನ್ಸ್ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆ ರಿಂದ ಸಂಗೇನ ಹಳ್ಳಿ ಕೆರೆ ಒಡೆದುಹೋಯಿತೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ. ಆ ಕೆರೆ ತುಂಬುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿಯೇ ಅದು ಒಡೆದು ಹೋಯಿತೆಂಬ ಅಂಶ ಆದರೆ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಯಾವಾಗ ಒಡೆದರೇನು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಫ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ 10—15 ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಲುಕ್ಕಾನಾಗಿದೆ. ಒವರ್ ಫ್ಲೋನಿಂದ ಆ ಕೆರೆ ಒಡೆಯಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಕಟ್ಟಡದಲ್ಲೇ ಮಿಸ್ಟೇಕ್ ಇದ್ದದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆ ಕೆರೆ ತುಂಬುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿಯೇ ಅದು ಒಡೆದುಹೋಗಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣ ಗೌಡ.—ಕೆರೆಗೆ ನೀರೇ ಬಾರದೆ ಅದು ಒಡೆಯಲು ಹೇಗೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿದೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಫ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಕೆಳಗೆ ಬುಗ್ಗೆ ಎದ್ದು ಆ ಕೆರೆ ಒಡೆಯಲು ಕಾರಣವಾಯಿತಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣ ಗೌಡ.—ಅದು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ. ಅದೂ ಒಂದು ಕಾರಣವಿರಬಹುದು. The fact is this Sir. On account of heavy rains on one particular night, the tank breached.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—Is Government aware that there is difference between a tank breaching when floods come and a tank breaching due to over-flowing on the waste-weir when there is no flood?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—Sometimes, on account of over-flow on the waste-weir it breaches; some times, the breaching may be due to bad foundation.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Are Government aware that the bund breached even when the water level was 2½ feet below the weir crest and about 7 feet below designed maximum water level?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—
All that is in the Report itself. It is mentioned in the document which is a published document.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question time is over.

QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(but not taken up)

Temporary Work Establishment in Public Works Department

Q.—3481. Sri T. PARTHASARATHY (Malleswaram).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is a fact that the temporary establishment of the Public Works Department have not been given the benefits given to the Non-Gazetted Officials, as per G.O. No. Fl. 2010-70-S and A 25-47-6, dated 21st September 1957;

(b) whether it is a fact that the new scales and D.A. as per G.O. No. (B) 14034-14173/Bud. 119-56-3, dated 25th January 1957, have not been sanctioned to the temporary establishment of the Public Works Department;

(c) whether it is a fact that the interim relief to all Government Employees as per G.O. No. Fl. (B) 10291-10390/Bud. 95-56-1, dated 16th October 1956, have not been given to the Temporary Establishment of the Public Works Department?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works).—

(a) No. (It is presumed that the question relates to work-charged establishment in the Public Works Department in former Mysore).

(b) Yes.

(c) Yes.

Removal of tombs of Muslims by the Gram Panchayat of Motebennur.

Q.—3490. Sri F. H. MOHSIN (Hubli City).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

L.A.

(a) whether it is a fact that the Grampanchayat of Motebennur in Byadgi Mahal has been trying to remove the tombs of Muslims from the graveyards;

(b) whether it is a fact that the said Grampanchayat is intending to take forceful and illegal possession of the Idgah of Muslims;

(c) whether representations have been made by the local Muslims to the Chief Minister, and the Collector, Dharwar district, in this respect;

(d) whether they are aware that owing to this act of the Grampanchayat, there is great unrest amongst the Muslims of the locality;

(e) the action taken by them so far in preventing the Grampanchayat from removing tombs;

(f) the action they intend to take in future?

A.—Sri R. CHENNIGARAMAIAH (Minister for Local Self-Government).—

(a) No.

(b) No.

(c) Yes.

(d) No.

(e) Does not arise in view of reply to clause (b).

(f) Does not arise.

BUDGET FOR 1958-59—DEMANDS FOR GRANTS.

Demand No. 5—Forest.

10. Forest.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,83,97,000 be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending 31st day of March 1959, in respect of ‘Forest’.”

Demand No. 41—Working Expenses (Forest.)

X. Forest c. (I) Wood Preservation Plant—Working Expenses and Seigniorage.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 6,00,000 be granted to the